WEED SEED MANAGEMENT AT CROP HARVEST

Weed seed in harvested crops can negatively impact a farm's potential profits. Sometimes the impact can be immediate like crop yield reduction, the rejection of a grain load due to export market regulations; and at other times, it can set the stage for future problems like herbicide resistance and increased control costs.

Although weed seed can spread in ways that can't be controlled (animals, wind), there are management strategies that can prevent seeds from further spreading farm to farm (cleaning equipment, hand weeding before harvest, reducing erosion).

The timing of weed seed control is also important; **weed seed management at crop harvest is critical** because at harvest, weeds that have survived other control attempts during the growing season and produced viable seed (also called weed escapes) can be spread from farm to farm if equipment is not properly and thoroughly cleaned. Many species have the potential to be troublesome but waterhemp has proven to be exceptionally challenging in recent years.

https://youtu.be/nDMq1UanSkE



Extension 1	WEED SEED PRODUCTION & RETENTION IN SOYBEAN								
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON	TABLE 1		Seed Produc	tion per plant	Seed Retention % at harvest				
			2013	2014	2013	2014			
	Palmer amaranth	Arkansas	50,022 ± 8,209	$33,195 \pm 5,775$	99.98 ± 0.00	99.85 ± 0.05			
		Illinois	$26,038 \pm 3,753$	-	99.95 ± 0.03	-			
		Nebraska	$36,978 \pm 5,399$	$58,004 \pm 9,434$	98.89 ± 0.23	99.93 ± 0.02			
		Missouri	$13,\!384 \pm 27,\!363$	$60,221 \pm 21,991$	99.98 ± 0.00	99.67 ± 0.20			
		Tennessee	22,833 ± 4,914	-	99.96 ± 0.01	-			
	Waterhemp	Illinois	$25,649 \pm 5,800$	11,833 ± 2,277	99.98 ± 0.01	94.98 ± 0.94			
		Nebraska	$60,228 \pm 8,348$	82,811 ± 15,051	99.99 ± 0.00	99.63 ± 0.10			
		Missouri	19,727 ± 2,493	23,787 ± 4,200	100.00 ± 0.00	99.84 ± 0.04			
		Wisconsin	$17,459 \pm 2,625$	38,221 ± 7,956	99.96 ± 0.00	98.80 ± 0.30			
Watch our video! How to Clean a Combine to Limit the	Spread of Weed Seed		Adapted fro V., Stec Palmer am Ma	om: Schwartz, L., Norswo kel, L., Walsh, M. (2016). aranth (<i>Amaranthus paln</i> turity. Weed Technology,	rthy, J., Young, B., Bradle Tall Waterhemp (<i>Amarar</i> <i>neri</i>) Seed Production and 30(1), 284–290. doi:10.1	ey, K., Kruger, G., Davis, <i>ithus tuberculatus</i>) and d Retention at Soybean 614/WT-D-15-00130.1			

TABLE 2	Total Seed Captured per plant	% Seed Shattered before crop harvest	% Seed Shattered during harvest delay	% Retained on plant after simulated harvest	
Redroot pigweed	$149,427 \pm 27,267$	7.2 ± 1.1	7.7 ± 0.9	85.1 ± 17.5	Adapted from: Haring S.
Common ragweed	$2,204 \pm 382$	7.2 ± 1.2	14.1 ± 2.4	78.7 ± 15.3	(2017) Harvest weed Seed Control: An Integrated Weed
Common lambsquarters	$62,091 \pm 11,332$	4.3 ± 0.7	40.6 ± 8.1	55.2 ± 12.0	Management Strategy for
Common cocklebur	1,325 ± 155	14.4 ± 3.5	48.2 ± 8.2	38.9 ± 5.5	Production System. M.S.
Giant foxtail	$26,334 \pm 2,124$	$26.3 \pm ^{3.6}$	24.0 ± 2.8	49.8 ± 5.2	Thesis. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Tech 64 p
Large crabgrass	$84,721 \pm 11,637$	46.3 ± 6.9	13.7 ± 1.9	40.0 ± 7.7	

BURIED WEED SEED LONGEVITY AND NUMBER OF SEEDS PRODUCED

	Years of Seed Burial when exhumed												
TABLE 3	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	12	17	
	% germination												
Barnyardgrass	17	4	4	19	16	35	20	8	3	8	3	1	
Common cocklebur	10	60	36	16	16	4	3	0	18	20	0	0	
Common lambsquarters	28	53	43	40	40	17	48	36	21	37	42	28	
Pennsylvania smartweed	11	3	1	1	1	30	0	0	0	1	0	7	V
Redroot pigweed	66	73	27	5	8	1	3	3	0	2	7	0	
Waterhemp	40	38	10	7	12	10	14	3	2	7	6	3	
Velvetleaf	15	32	23	43	17	40	70	5	24	41	25	25	
Canada thistle	60	47	39	44	40	35	31	29	28	34	14	9	
Curly dock	76	83	73	88	88	89	87	87	91	86	83	77	
Dandelion	2	3	6	3	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	

Adapted from: Burnside, O., Wilson, R., Weisberg, S., & Hubbard, K. (1996). Seed Longevity of 41 Weed Species Buried 17 Years in Eastern and Vestern Nebraska. Weed Science, 44(1), 74-86. doi:10.1017/ S0043174500093589 Zimdahl, R. (2018). Fundamentals of Weed Science. 5th ed. Academic Press, Cambridge, MA

Average Pounds of Broken Corn and Foreign Material Collected from Five Combines after Harvesting Corn Average Pounds of Foreign Material Collected from Five Combines after Harvesting Soybean 8 7 9 6 8 5

Adapted from: Adapted from figure data from Hanna HM, Jarboe DH, Quick GR (2009) Grain Residual and Time Requirements for Combine Cleaning. Applied Engineering in Agriculture



care to protect eye, ear, and respiratory health!



Where do most foreign materials hide on a combine?

50

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

Average Time in Minutes to Clean Selected Components for Five Combines